

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/033,325	Applicant(s) POULOSE ET AL.	
	Examiner William W. Moore	Art Unit 1652	

All Participants:

(1) William W. Moore, Examiner.

(2) Richard T. Ito, Applicant's Counsel.

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 16 April 2004

Status of Application: Response after non-final rejection

Time: 2:05PM EST

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

Claims 1 and 10

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Authorization was requested of, and received from, Applicant's Counsel for an examiner's amendment to claims 1 and 10 clarifying their recitations in a form reviewed by Applicant's counsel, permitting allowance of claims 1-10.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)